

Time was not, Eternity is.



Contents and Key Concepts

Time is the panoramic succession of our states of consciousness.

Abstract by the Series Editor.

3

There are two times, universal and finite.

Time is an intellectual dance of finite souls around the centre of the World Soul.

Time after Divine Plutarch in Plato's Timaeus.

What does Timaeus means, when he says that Souls are dispersed into the Earth, the Moon, and into other Instruments of Time?

8

Time after Plotinus, the Hellenistic Platonist philosopher.

Time with regard to date.

9

Time after Thomas Taylor, the English Platonist.

Attired with stars, we shall for ever sit, triumphing over death, and chance, and thee – Oh Time!

Suggested reading for students.

From our Secret Doctrine's First Proposition Series.

13



Time is the panoramic succession of our states of consciousness.

Abstract by the Series Editor.

There are two Times, Universal and Finite.

The one is the abstraction or noumenon of Infinite Time (Kala); the other, its phenomenon appearing periodically as the effect of Mahat.

What is called temporal can exist only in time, which is a portion of the revolution of the highest sphere.

Time is asleep in the bosom of the Orphic trinity. Secondary Logoi, like Zeus-Jupiter are born in and out of Chronos-Saturn, or Infinite Time.

The last vibration of the Seventh Eternity, when Time was not, is First Logos. Time dawns in Second Logos, and is fully expressed in the Third. All Logoi are all the Sons of the Mother before they become those of the Father.

Time is the panoramic succession of our states of consciousness. Present, past, and future are compound phenomenal time.

CARL TAYLOR-ROBINSON



Easter Island (1776-77) by William Hodges, artist on Cook's 2nd expedition, National Maritime Museum

There are two times, universal and finite.

We feel and know that we are eternal.

— SPINOZA¹

There are two Times: Universal and Finite.

Stanza III. *Śloka* (1). THE LAST VIBRATION OF THE SEVENTH ETERNITY THRILLS THROUGH INFINITUDE. THE MOTHER SWELLS, EXPANDING FROM WITHIN WITHOUT LIKE THE BUD OF THE LOTUS.

One is the abstraction or noumenon of infinite time (Kāla); the other its phenomenon appearing periodically, as the effect of Mahat.

The seemingly paradoxical use of the sentence 'Seventh Eternity,' thus dividing the indivisible, is sanctified in esoteric philosophy. The latter divides boundless duration into unconditionally eternal and universal Time and a conditioned one (*Khandakāla*). One is the abstraction or noumenon of infinite time (Kāla); the other its phenomenon appearing periodically, as the effect of *Mahat* (the Universal Intelligence limited by Manvantaric duration).²

What is called temporal can exist only in time, which is a portion of the revolution of the highest sphere.

. . . the new-making or creation of a thing requires a material principle (mayeth), such as may admit the possibility of its new existence in some portion of the revolution of the circle of eternity. But this can have reference only to temporal existence. Now Intelligences, in consequence of their independence, are free from the affections of time: for what is called temporal can exist only in time, which is a portion of the revolution of the highest sphere: whereas the being of Intelligences is not connected with time; and to ascribe existence in time to the First Intelligence would lead to reasoning in a circle; because in this point of view, time would be dependent on the Sphere, while the being of the sphere is dependent on the being of the First Intelligence.³

¹ Spinoza: *Ethics* V, 23, note

² *Blavatsky Collected Writings*, (TRANSACTIONS OF THE BLAVATSKY LODGE – VI) X p. 358; [quoting *Secret Doctrine*, I p. 62.]

³ *The Desātīr or the Sacred Writings of the Ancient Prophets*, tr. by Mulla Firuz Bin Kaus, Bombay, 1818, 2-vols.; with additional notes by Dhunjeebhoy Jamsetjee Medhora, Bombay, 1888; "The Book of Shet Sasan the First," Commentary on *vs.*16, "Intelligences are without beginning." p. 128.

Secondary Logoi, like Zeus-Jupiter are born in, and out of Chronos-Saturn or Infinite Time.

. . . . all the creative gods, or *personal* Deities, begin at the secondary stage of Cosmic evolution. Zeus is born *in*, and *out* of *Chronos* — Time. So is Brahmā the production and emanation of *Kala*, “eternity and time,” *Kala* being one of the names of Vishnu. Hence we find Odin, the father *of the gods and of the Aesir*, as Brahmā is the father *of the gods and of the Asuras*, and hence also the androgyne character of all the chief creative gods, from the second MONAD of the Greeks down to the Sēphīrōth Adam-Kadmon, the Brahmā or Prajāpati-Vāch of the *Vedas*, and the androgyne of Plato, which is but another version of the Indian symbol.¹

Time is asleep in the bosom of the Orphic trinity.

. . . that, which is called “energy” or “force” in Science and has been explained as a *dual* Force by Samuel Metcalfe, is never, in fact, and cannot be *energy* alone; for it is the substance of the world, its soul, the *all-permeant* “Sarvaga,” in conjunction with *Kāla* “time.” The three are the trinity in one, during Manvantara, the all-potential Unity, which acts on the plane of illusion (Māyā) as three distinct things. In Orphic philosophy in Greece they were called *Phanēs*, *Chaos*, and *Chronos* — the triad of the Occult philosophers of that period.²

Does the commencement of Time as distinguished from Duration, correspond to the appearance of the manifested Logos?

The last vibration of the Seventh Eternity, when Time was not, is First Logos.

Certainly, it cannot do so earlier. But “the seventh vibration” applies to both the First, and to the manifested Logos — the first out of Space and Time, the second, when Time has commenced. It is only when “the mother swells” that differentiation sets in, for when the first Logos radiates through primordial and undifferentiated matter there is as yet no action in Chaos. “The last vibration of the Seventh Eternity” is the first which announces the Dawn, and is a synonym for the First or unmanifested Logos. There is no Time at this stage. There is neither Space nor Time when beginning is made; but it is all in Space and Time, once that differentiation sets in. At the time of the primordial radiation, or when the Second Logos emanates, it is Father-Mother potentially, but when the Third or manifested Logos appears, it be-

¹ *Secret Doctrine*, I p. 427

² *ibid.*, I pp. 582-83

comes the Virgin-Mother. The “Father and the Son” are one in all the world Theogonies; hence, the expression corresponds to the appearance of both the unmanifested and the manifested Logos one at the beginning, the other at the end, of the “Seventh Eternity.”¹

Can you, then, speak of Time as existing from the appearance of the Second or Unmanifested-Manifested Logos?

Time dawns in Second Logos and is fully expressed in the Third.

Assuredly not, but from the appearance of the Third. It is here that the great difference between the two lies, as just shown. The “last vibration” begins outside of Time and Space, and ends with the third Logos, when Time and Space begin, *i.e.*, periodical time. The Second Logos partaking of both the essences or natures of the first and the last. There is no differentiation with the First Logos; differentiation only begins in latent World-Thought, with the Second Logos, and receives its full expression, *i.e.*, becomes the “Word” made flesh — with the Third.²

All Logoi are the Sons of the Mother before they become those of the Father.

Hence all the higher gods [logoi] of antiquity are all “Sons of the Mother” before they become those of the “Father,” The Logoi, like Jupiter or Zeus, Son of Kronos-Saturn,³ “Infinite Time” (or Kāla), in their origin were represented as male-female. Zeus is said to be the “beautiful Virgin,” and Venus is made bearded. Apollo is originally bisexual, so is Brahmā-Vāch in *Manu* and the *Purānas*. Osiris is interchangeable with Isis, and Horus is of both sexes. Finally St. John’s vision in *Revelation*, that of the Logos, who is now connected with Jesus — is hermaphrodite, for he is described as having female breasts. So is the Tetragrammaton = Jehovah. But there are two Avalokiteśvaras in Esotericism, the first and the second Logos.⁴

¹ *Blavatsky Collected Writings*, (TRANSACTIONS OF THE BLAVATSKY LODGE) X pp. 358-59

² *ibid.*, (TRANSACTIONS OF THE BLAVATSKY LODGE) X p. 359

³ [“We must bear in mind that *Kronos*, equated with Saturn(us), father of Jupiter (= Zeus), is totally distinct from *Chronos* (Time), but certain Greeks and Romans, notorious as they are for false etymology, confused the two. *Chronos* = *Χρόνος* = *Khronos* was raised by some to a personified or quasi-personified rank as deity, like *Αἰών* = *Aiōn* = Time. However, *X* (ch or kh) is distinct from *K*, and the *h* in *ch* or *kh* was important, but dialects vary sometimes in that particular. Macrobius in his *Saturnalia*, I, 8.9, confuses *Saturnus* with *tempus*.” *Secret Doctrine* II, p. 802. — Note 13 by Boris de Zirkoff on the difference between *Kronos* and *Chronos*; *ibid.* p. 269 *fn.* Also cf. “*Kronos* and *Chronos*,” in our Confusing Words Series. — ED. PHIL.]

⁴ *Secret Doctrine*, I p. 72 *fn.*

Time is the panoramic succession of our states of consciousness.
Present, Past, and Future are compound phenomenal time.

By “that which is and yet is not” is meant the Great Breath itself, which we can only speak of as absolute existence, but cannot picture to our imagination as any form of existence that we can distinguish from Nonexistence. The three periods — the Present, the Past, and the Future — are in the esoteric philosophy a compound time; for the three are a composite number only in relation to the phenomenal plane, but in the realm of noumena have no abstract validity. As said in the Scriptures: “The Past time is the Present time, as also the Future, which, though it has not come into existence, still is”; according to a precept in the Prasanga Madhyamika teaching, whose dogmas have been known ever since it broke away from the purely esoteric schools. Our ideas, in short, on duration and time are all derived from our sensations according to the laws of association. Inextricably bound up with the relativity of human knowledge, they nevertheless can have no existence except in the experience of the individual ego, and perish when its evolutionary march dispels the Māyā of phenomenal existence. What is Time, for instance, but the panoramic succession of our states of consciousness? In the words of a Master, “I feel irritated at having to use these three clumsy words — Past, Present, and Future — miserable concepts of the objective phases of the Subjective Whole, they are about as ill-adapted for the purpose as an axe for fine carving.”¹

“ . . . the unreality of two of the three divisions of time is given in public works, namely. . . ”

(a) that there is neither past nor future, both of these divisions being correlative to the present; and

(b) that the reality of things can never be sensed or perceived except by him who has obtained the Dharmakāya body; here again is a difficulty, since this body “without remains” carries the Initiate to full Parinirvāna, if we accept the exoteric explanation verbally, and can therefore neither sense nor perceive.² . . . One has to acquire *Paramārtha* lest one should become too easy a prey to *Samvriti* — is a philosophical axiom.³

¹ [The Mahatma Letters to A.P. Sinnett, p. 29]

² Blavatsky Collected Writings, (A FEW MORE MISCONCEPTIONS CORRECTED) XIV p. 440

³ Secret Doctrine, I p. 44; Cf. “In clearer words: ‘One has to acquire true Self-Consciousness, in order to understand *Samvriti*, or the ‘origin of delusion.’” *ibid.*, fn.

Time is an intellectual dance of finite souls around the centre of the World Soul.

Time after Divine Plutarch in Plato's Timaeus.

What does Timaeus mean,¹ when he says that Souls are dispersed into the Earth, the Moon, and into other Instruments of Time?

So by Plato in his Republic² the sun is called the king and lord of the whole sensible nature, as the Chief Good is of the intelligible. For it is said to be the offspring of Good, it giving both generation and appearance to things visible; as it is from Good that things intelligible both are and are understood. But that this God, having such a nature and so great power, should be only an instrument of time, and a sure measure of the difference that happens among the eight orbs, as they slow or swift in motion, seems neither decent nor highly rational. It must therefore be said to such as are startled at these things, that it is their ignorance to think that

- Time is the measure of motion in respect of sooner or later, as Aristotle calls it;
- Or quantity in motion, as Speusippus;
- Or an interval of motion and nothing more, as some of Stoics define it, by an accident, not comprehending its essence and power,
- Which Pindar has not ineptly expressed in these words: Time, who surpasses all in the seats of the blest.
- Pythagoras also, when he was asked what time was, answered, it was the soul of this world.

For time is affection or accident of motion, but the cause, power, and principle of that symmetry and order that confines all created beings, by which the animated nature of the universe is moved. Or rather, this order and symmetry itself — so far as it is motion — is called time. For this,

Walking by still and silent ways,
Mortal affairs with justice guides.³

¹ See *Timæus*, p. 42d

² Plato: *Republic* VI, pp. 508, 509

³ Euripides: *Troad*, 887

According to the ancients, the essence of the soul is a number moving itself. Therefore,

Plato says that time and heaven were coexistent, but that motion was before heaven had being. But time was not. For then there neither was order, nor measure, nor determination; but indefinite motion, as it were, the formless and rude matter of time.

But when matter was informed with figures, and motion with circutations, from that came the world, from this time. Both are representations of God; the world, of his essence; time, of his eternity in the form of motion, as the world is God in creation. Therefore they say heaven and motion, being bred together, will perish together, if ever they do perish. For nothing is generated without time, nor is anything intelligible without eternity; if this is to endure for ever, and that never to die when once bred. Time therefore, having a necessary connection and affinity with heaven, cannot be called simple motion, but (as it were) motion in order having terms and periods; whereof since the sun is prefect and overseer, to determine, moderate, produce, and observe changes and seasons, which (according to Heraclitus) produce all things, he is coadjutor to the governing and chief God, not in trivial things, but in the greatest and most momentous affairs.¹

Time after Plotinus, the Hellenistic Platonist philosopher.

Time in its ceaseless onward sliding produces parted interval; Eternity stands in identity, pre-eminent, vaster by unending power than Time with all the vastness of its seeming progress; Time is like a radial line running out apparently to infinity but dependent upon that, its centre, which is the pivot of all its movement; as it goes it tells of that centre, but the centre itself is the unmoving principle of all the movement.

Time stands, thus, in analogy with the principle which holds fast in unchanging identity of essence: but that principle is infinite not only in duration but also in power: this infinity of power must also have its counterpart, a principle springing from that infinite power and dependent upon it; this counterpart will, after its own mode, run a course — corresponding to the course of Time — in keeping with that stationary power which is its greater as being its source: and in this too the source is present throughout the full extension of its lower correspondent.²

Time with regard to date.

If “yesterday,” “to-morrow,” “last year” and similar terms denote parts of time, why should they not be included in the same genus as time? It would seem only reasonable to range under time the past, present and future, which are its species. But time is referred to Quantity; what then is the need for a separate category of Date?

If we are told that past and future — including under past such definite dates as yesterday and last year which must clearly be subordinate to past time — and even the

¹ *Plutarch's Morals. Translated from the Greek by Several Hands.* (Corrected and revised by W.W. Goodwin). Boston: Little, Brown & Co, 1878. (Vol. V, “Platonic Questions” VIII pp. 440-41; tr. Brown.)

² Plotinus: *Ennead* VI, v, “On the Integral Omnipresence of the Authentic Existent (2),” ¶ 11. (tr. MacKenna & Page. Full text in our Hellenic and Hellenistic Paper Series. — ED. PHIL.)

present “now” are not merely time but time — when, we reply, in the first place, that the notion of time — when involves time; that, further, if “yesterday” is time-gone-by, it will be a composite, since time and gone-by are distinct notions: we have two categories instead of the single one required.

But suppose that Date is defined not as time but as that which is in time; if by that which is in time is meant the subject — Socrates in the proposition “Socrates existed last year” — that subject is external to the notion of time, and we have again a duality.

Consider, however, the proposition “Socrates — or some action — exists at this time”; what can be the meaning here other than “in a part of time”? But if, admitted that Date is “a part of time,” it be felt that the part requires definition and involves something more than mere time, that we must say the part of time gone by, several notions are massed in the proposition: we have the part which *qua*¹ part is a relative; and we have “gone-by” which, if it is to have any import at all, must mean the past: but this “past,” we have shown, is a species of time.

It may be urged that “the past” is in its nature indefinite, while “yesterday” and “last year” are definite. We reply, first, that we demand some place in our classification for the past: secondly, that “yesterday,” as definite past, is necessarily definite time. But definite time implies a certain quantity of time: therefore, if time is quantitative, each of the terms in question must signify a definite quantity.

Again, if by “yesterday” we are expected to understand that this or that event has taken Place at a definite time gone by, we have more notions than ever. Besides, if we must introduce fresh categories because one thing acts in another — as in this case something acts in time — we have more again from its acting upon another in another. This point will be made plain by what follows in our discussion of Place.²

Time after Thomas Taylor, the English Platonist.

Archytas the Pythagorean defined time to be the universal interval of the nature of the universe, in consequence of surveying the continuity in the productive principles of that nature, and their departure into division. Others still more ancient defined time to be, as the name manifests, *a certain dance of intellect*; but others defined it to be the periods of soul; others, the natural receptacle of these periods; and others, orderly circulations; all which (says Iamblichus, from whom this information is derived) the Pythagoric sect comprehends. Both Archytas also and Aristotle appear to have admitted time to be *a continued and indivisible flux of nows*. See a treasure of the conceptions of the ancients on this subject, in the Additional Notes to my translation of Aristotle’s *Physics*.

Time, however, according to Proclus, is a medium between that which is *alone* the cause of motion, as soul, and that which is *alone* immoveable, as intellect. Hence time is truly, so far as it is considered in itself, immoveable, but so far as it is in its participants, it is moveable, and subsists together with them, unfolding itself into

¹ [as]

² Plotinus: *Ennead* VI, vi, “On the Kinds of Being (1),” ¶ 13. (tr. MacKenna & Page. Full text in our Hellenic and Hellenistic Paper Series. — ED. PHIL.)

them. He adds, *hence it is a certain proceeding intellect*, established indeed in eternity, but proceeding and abundantly flowing into the things which are guarded by it. This definition of time by Proclus, appears to me to be uncommonly beautiful, and accurate. See the whole of the passage from which it is taken, in my translation of the *Commentary on the Timæus*.¹



¹ Taylor T. (*Tr. & Annot.*). *Collected Writings of Plotinus*. (Vol. III of The Thomas Taylor Series) From: The Prometheus Trust, 2000; [Taylor's note to *Ennead* III, vii ¶ 6, p. 270 *fn.* & ¶ 10, p. 277 *fn.*]

**Attired with stars, we shall for ever sit,
triumphing over death, and chance,
and thee — Oh Time!**

Fly envious Time, till thou run out thy race,
Call on the lazy leaden-stepping hours,
Whose speed is but the heavy plummet's pace;
And glut thyself with what thy womb devours,
Which is no more than what is false and vain,
And merely mortal dross;
So little is our loss
So little is thy gain,
For when as each thing bad thou hast entombed,
And last of all they greedy self-consumed,
Then long Eternity shall greet our bliss
With an individual kiss;
And Joy shall overtake us as a flood
When everything that is sincerely good
And perfectly divine,
With Truth, and Peace, and Love shall ever shine
About the supreme Throne
Of him t' whose happy-making sight alone,
When once our heavenly-guided soul shall climb,
Then, all this Earthly grossness quit,
Attired with Stars, we shall for ever sit,
Triumphing over Death, and Chance, and thee, O time.

JOHN MILTON



Suggested reading for students.¹



From our Secret Doctrine's First Proposition Series.

- ALCHEMY IS THE QUINTESSENCE IN NATURE'S HIGHEST CORRELATIONS
- ALLEGORIES AND MYTHS UNDERLYING PURANIC COSMOLOGY
- BLAVATSKY AND MEAD ON THE GOSPEL ACCORDING TO JOHN
- CROSS IS THE SYMBOL OF PRE-COSMIC DIVINE MIND
- DIAGRAM 1 - HINDU COSMOGONY
- DIAGRAM 2 - CHALDEO-JEWISH COSMOGONY
- DIAGRAM 3 - THE IDEAL TRIAD AND ITS REFLECTION
- DIAGRAM 4 - THE WHEEL OF EZEKIEL
- DRAWING 0 - BESTRIDE THE BIRD OF LIFE
- DRAWING 1 - THE SEVENTEEN-RAYED SUN
- DRAWING 2 - THE WINGED SUN
- FOHAT IS THE LIFE OF THE UNIVERSE
- HERMES' DIVINE PYMANDER - TR. EVERARD
- HERMES' VIRGIN OF THE WORLD - TR. KINGSFORD & MAITLAND
- HINDU VS. CHALDEO-JEWISH COSMOGONY
- HOW VIBRATION BRINGS FORTH SOUND, FORM, AND COLOUR
- INERTIA, THE GREAT OCCULT FORCE
- INFINITE IS THE CREATIVE POTENCY OF FEMININE LOGOS
- JUDGE ON THE GITA AND THE ZODIAC
- LUNAR MYTH AND WORSHIP THROUGH THE AGES
- MAHANARAYANA UPANISHAD - TR. VIMALANANDA
- PROPOSITION 1 - AKASHA VS. ASTRAL LIGHT
- PROPOSITION 1 - BESTRIDE THE BIRD OF LIFE
- PROPOSITION 1 - CENTRE + CIRCLE

¹ Students should be fully conversant with the metaphysical concepts and learning aids set out in our Secret Doctrine's Propositions Series 2 and 3. — ED. PHIL.

SECRET DOCTRINE'S FIRST PROPOSITION SERIES
SUGGESTED READING FOR STUDENTS

- PROPOSITION 1 - CHAOS TO SENSE, LATENT DEITY TO REASON
- PROPOSITION 1 - CHURNING THE OCEAN OF MILK
- PROPOSITION 1 - CROSS + FIRE
- PROPOSITION 1 - DAWN OF CHAOS-THEOS-KOSMOS
- PROPOSITION 1 - DESIRE PROPER IS BEING
- PROPOSITION 1 - DIAGRAM
- PROPOSITION 1 - DIAGRAM NOTES¹
- PROPOSITION 1 - ETYMOLOGY OF CONSCIOUSNESS
- PROPOSITION 1 - GOD DWELLS IN THE HEART
- PROPOSITION 1 - LIGHT DROPS ONE SOLITARY RAY (DRAWING)
- PROPOSITION 1 - NARAYANA FIRST OR THIRD LOGOS?
- PROPOSITION 1 - NOAH IS LOGOS
- PROPOSITION 1 - ONE LIGHT FOR ALL
- PROPOSITION 1 - SUN IS THE MIRROR OF FIRE
- PROPOSITION 1 - THE INEFFABLE NAME
- PROPOSITION 1 - THE MYSTERY OF BEING
- PROPOSITION 1 - THE ROPE OF THE ANGELS
- PROPOSITION 1 - THE SEVEN ETERNITIES
- PROPOSITION 1 - THE SEVEN FORCES OF NATURE
- PROPOSITION 1 - THE SEVEN RAYS OF THE SUN
- SONA, THE INDIAN RED RIVER, KEEPS WANDERING OFF ITS BED
- SQUARING THE CIRCLE IN HEAVEN
- TETRAGRAMMATON IS THE KEY TO OCCULT THEOGONY
- THE ATOMS OF SCIENCE ARE THE VIBRATIONS OF OCCULTISM
- THE COSMOGONY OF THE KALEVALA IS A FAITHFUL ECHO OF THE SECRET DOCTRINE
- THE HINDU PANTHEON DRESSED IN BIBLICAL GARB
- THE HOLY FOUR OF PYTHAGORAS
- THE MONADS OF LEIBNIZ ARE THE JIVAS OF OCCULTISM
- THE SIX-POINTED AND FIVE-POINTED STARS
- THE ZODIAC IS A VEIL THROWN OVER COSMOGENESIS
- THEOSOPHICAL JEWELS - COSMOGENESIS FOR BABIES
- THEOSOPHICAL JEWELS - COSMOGENESIS FOR GROWN-UPS

¹ Published under the title "How the Heavenly Snails clothed themselves in the Fabric of Darkness."

SECRET DOCTRINE'S FIRST PROPOSITION SERIES
SUGGESTED READING FOR STUDENTS

- VAST IS THE ANTIQUITY AND UNIVERSALITY OF THE ZODIAC
- WHAT IS MATTER AND WHAT IS FORCE?
- WORLDS OF BEING - EASTERN AND KABBALISTIC COSMOGONIES ARE IDENTICAL
- WORLDS OF BEING - THE ONE RAY STRIDES THROUGH 7 REGIONS IN 3 STEPS
- WORLDS OF BEING - THE PYTHAGOREAN WORLD, ROOT OF ILLUSION

